♠ AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

				Garage FILED
		UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT	COURTUS, DISTRICT COURT
			District of	Nebraska
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		2010 APR 12 PM 2: 45
v.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TREAK Case Number: 4:09CR3162 FIGE OF THE CREAK	
		TIMOTHY J. GRIESS	Case Number:	4:09CR3102+1CE OF THE OLEMIN
		Defendant		
det		ecordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § n of the defendant pending trial in this case.		held. I conclude that the following facts require the
			Part I—Findings of Fact	
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense storil storil storil storil federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.				
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.				
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
	(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment			
_	(4)	for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebutta	ble presumption that no condition or	combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
Ш	(+)	safety of (an) other person(s) and the community	y. I further find that the defendant has	s not rebutted this presumption.
			Alternative Findings (A)	
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defen		
		for which a maximum term of imprisonmen	t of ten years or more is prescribed in	21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq.
v	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	established by finding 1 that no conditi	on or combination of conditions will reasonably assur
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or continuous the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.			on of combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
	_		Alternative Findings (B)	
M	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
Ø	(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention				
. ما م		d that the credible testimony and information sub of the evidence that	omitted at the hearing establishes by	☐ clear and convincing evidence ☐ a prepon-
aei	rance	of the evidence that		
		Part II	I—Directions Regarding Detent	ion
	The			tative for confinement in a corrections facility separate,
to	the ex	tent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving	ng sentences or being held in custody	y pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a
				the United States or on request of an attorney for the inited States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
		ction with a court proceeding.	-	
		April 12, 2010		eryl R. Zwart
Date			Signature of Judicial Officer	
				rt, U.S. Magistrate Judge
			Name and T	itle of Judicial Officer

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).